

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

GOOGLE LLC,

*Plaintiff,*

v.

Civil Action No. 1:21-cv-10260-DLC

DMITRY STAROVIKOV;

ALEXANDER FILIPPOV;

Does 1-15,

*Defendants.*

**JOINT RULE 26(F) REPORT**

Plaintiff Google LLC (“Google”) and Defendants Dmitry Starovikov and Alexander Filippov (“Defendants,” together with Google, the “parties”) jointly submit the following Rule 26(f) Report and Discovery Plan.

The parties conferred telephonically on May 6, 18, and 27, 2022. As directed by the Court, the parties conferred about the feasibility of “settlement, whether the defendants are willing to consent to the entry of a permanent injunction, and each of the other subjects to be considered at Fed. R. Civ. P. 16 conference,” and prepared a “detailed written proposed schedule for any motions and discovery” pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f). ECF 63. The parties respectfully submit the following proposed discovery plan along with the parties’ proposed case schedule enclosed as Exhibit A.

**A. Initial Disclosures – Rule 26(f)(3)(A)**

The parties will serve initial disclosures pursuant to Rule 26(a) no later than June 10, 2022.

The parties agree that the initial disclosures will take the form prescribed in Rule 26(a), and that Defendants' initial disclosures will include each individual and entity involved in Defendants' business activities described in the Complaint (ECF 5) and Defendants' Declarations (ECF 47-2, 47-3), including for each the name, current contact information, and a description of the individual's or entity's role(s) in Defendants' business activities.

**B. Subjects of Discovery and Discovery Completion – Rule 26(f)(3)(B)**

**1. Subjects of Discovery**

The parties agree that they should "conduct expeditious and targeted discovery" to resolve the case as efficiently as possible. *See* ECF 62 at 32. The parties agree that fact discovery in this action should be phased. The first phase of fact discovery will focus on identifying the Doe Defendants and their roles in Defendants' business activities described in the Complaint and Defendants' Declarations. Within five business days of Defendants' initial disclosures, Google will serve targeted discovery requests for the purpose of obtaining any additional information necessary to amend the Complaint to include any additional named defendants. If no such individuals are identified in this first phase of fact discovery, Google intends to renew its motion for default judgment and a permanent injunction against the Doe Defendants.

Once this first phase of discovery is complete, including the resolution of any disputes regarding such discovery, the parties anticipate additional, targeted discovery regarding the parties' respective claims and defenses.

**2. Fact Discovery**

The parties' proposed case schedule, including the deadlines for fact discovery, is enclosed as Exhibit A.

### **3. Expert Discovery**

The parties' proposed case schedule, including the deadlines for expert discovery, is enclosed as Exhibit A.

### **C. Disclosure, Discovery, or Preservation of ESI – Rule 26(f)(3)(C)**

The parties anticipate that the scope of discovery will include Electronically Stored Information ("ESI"). The parties will request ESI in the form or forms that facilitate efficient review of ESI. The parties reserve all rights in this regard, but affirm their obligations under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, including as to the preservation of relevant evidence.

### **D. Privilege – Rule 26(f)(3)(D)**

The parties agree that they will serve privilege logs in accordance with the guidelines set forth in Local Civil Rule 26.2 no later than 45 days after the production from which the document was withheld, unless otherwise agreed. The parties further agree that privileged or otherwise protected communications with outside counsel after the date of the respective party's first appearance in this action need not be logged. The parties also agree that they have reserved all rights to challenge any privilege claims, whether described on privilege logs or otherwise.

### **E. Limitations on Discovery – Rule 26(f)(3)(E)**

The parties agree that the first phase of fact discovery will be limited as set forth herein. The parties reserve their respective rights to meet and confer regarding the scope of discovery to commence after the conclusion of the first phase of fact discovery, and prior to serving discovery requests beyond those contemplated by the first phase of fact discovery. Google's position is that any discovery after the first stage of fact discovery should be limited to the remaining factual issues identified by the Court in its Opinion and Order: (1) Defendants' claimed "lack [of] knowledge of the criminal schemes that Google alleges they control"; (2) Defendants' claim that they "did not intend to target U.S. users"; and (3) Defendants' claim that "user

participation in their services is entirely legitimate and voluntary.” ECF 62 at 11. Defendants’ position is that any discovery after the first stage of fact discovery should not be so limited, and should include Google’s investigation of the Defendants, and any matters and issues related to the same.

**F. Other Orders That the Court Should Issue – Rule 26(f)(3)(F)**

The parties will do their best to present a stipulated protective order to the Court. The parties agree that neither side should exchange confidential documents under Rule 26(a)(1)(A)(ii) until a protective order is entered in this case.

**G. Other Matters**

**1. Settlement**

The parties discussed settlement and “whether the defendants are willing to consent to the entry of a permanent injunction.” ECF 63. Defendants have declined to consent to any permanent injunction.

**2. Consent to Magistrate Judge**

The parties do not consent to proceed before a magistrate judge.

**3. Joinder of Parties and Amendment of Pleadings**

Google may amend its Complaint to add named defendants if discovery identifies additional individuals. The parties propose that the deadline for amendments to the pleadings as of right be thirty (30) days from the completion of the first phase of fact discovery, including the resolution of any disputes regarding such discovery. Google reserves all of its rights to seek leave to amend the Complaint.

**4. Service of Pleadings and Discovery**

The parties agree that as to all parties who have appeared in this action, all papers and discovery material not filed with the Court via CM/ECF shall be served via email and/or FTP on

counsel of record for each party, except to the extent that electronic service is not practically available for any particular discovery material, in which case service shall be made to counsel of record for the opposing party(ies) at the following locations:

**For Google:**

Laura Harris  
KING & SPALDING LLP  
1185 Avenue of the Americas, 34th Floor  
New York, NY 10036

**For Defendants:**

Igor Litvak  
The Litvak Law Firm, PLLC  
1733 Sheepshead Bay Road, Suite 22  
Brooklyn, NY 11235

**5. Pretrial Submissions**

The parties jointly request that pretrial matters, including the deadline for witness lists and other pretrial submissions, be deferred until after the Court's resolution of summary judgment motions.

DATED: May 31<sup>st</sup>, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

Laura Harris

Laura Harris  
Andrew Michaelson  
Kathleen E. McCarthy  
Matthew L. Bush  
KING & SPALDING LLP  
1185 Avenue of the Americas,  
34th Floor  
New York, NY 10036  
Telephone: (212) 790-5356  
Fax: (212) 556-2222  
[lharris@kslaw.com](mailto:lharris@kslaw.com)  
[amichaelson@kslaw.com](mailto:amichaelson@kslaw.com)  
[kmccarthy@kslaw.com](mailto:kmccarthy@kslaw.com)  
[mbush@kslaw.com](mailto:mbush@kslaw.com)

Sumon Dantiki (*pro hac vice*)  
KING & SPALDING LLP  
1700 Pennsylvania Ave., NW,  
2nd Floor  
Washington, DC 20006  
Telephone: (202) 626-5591  
Fax: (202) 626-3737  
[sdantiki@kslaw.com](mailto:sdantiki@kslaw.com)

*Counsel for Plaintiff Google LLC*

/s/ Igor Litvak  
The Litvak Law Firm  
1733 Sheepshead Bay Rd.,  
Suite 22  
Brooklyn, NY 11235  
Tel/Fax: 718-989-2908  
Email:  
[Igor@LitvakLawNY.com](mailto:Igor@LitvakLawNY.com)

*Counsel for Defendants*

**EXHIBIT A**  
**PROPOSED SCHEDULE**

| <b>Event</b>   | <b>Proposed Deadline</b>  |
|--|---|
| Initial Pretrial Conference  | June 1, 2022  |
| Initial Disclosures Served   | June 10, 2022   |
| Google Serves Discovery Requests for the First Phase of Fact Discovery | June 17, 2022   |
| Remaining Fact Discovery Commences                                     | Immediately following completion of the first phase of fact discovery             |
| Deadline for Amending Pleadings as of Right                            | 30 days following completion of the first phase of fact discovery                 |
| Close of Fact Discovery  | October 3, 2022   |
| Expert Reports from Parties Bearing the Burden on an Issue             | November 4, 2022  |
| Rebuttal Expert Reports  | December 9, 2022  |
| Reply Expert Reports   | January 9, 2023   |
| Motion(s) for Summary Judgment   | February 17, 2023, or earlier by consent of the parties or with the Court's leave |
| Opposition(s) to Motion(s) for Summary Judgment                        | March 20, 2023  |
| Reply(ies) in Support of Motion(s) for Summary Judgment                | April 10, 2023  |